Message

From: Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]

Sent: 4/6/2016 3:56:22 PM **To**: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]

Subject: RE: April 12 - coming in person, room size, facilitator?

Go with the nicer room that fits 20. But, you must stress to Bradley that he has to give you the list of attendees no later than 3pm the day before the meeting so you can register the guest or they will not be able to enter the building. They all have to have valid id as well. No one can youch for them to enter.

From: LEE, LILY

Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 9:02 AM **To:** Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: April 12 - coming in person, room size, facilitator?

I got the room for 8 people. We have 4 of us. It sounds like 4 of them might come. So should I stick with the 1st room?

I also booked the bigger room on 10th floor that fits 20 people. It's a nicer room. Should I switch?

Lily Lee

Cleanup Project Manager

Superfund Division

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3) San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518 www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: Bradley Angel [mailto:bradley@greenaction.org]

Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 8:34 AM **To:** LEE, LILY < LEE.LILY @EPA.GOV >

Subject: Re: April 12 - coming in person, room size, facilitator?

Hi Lily,

A few of us from Greenaction and maybe one or two others will be at EPA in person, and I will get you the names in advance.

The UCSC folks will participate by phone.

I don't think we need a facilitator.

On 4/4/2016 5:36 PM, LEE, LILY wrote:

Dear Bradley,

I already reserved a room at EPA if you'd like to come in person. I need to give all the names of the people coming at least 48 hrs in advance to our security office.

But let me know how many is the maximum number of people you could potentially expect, so that if we need more space, I have time to find a big enough room before they all get booked.

Also, do you want to use your facilitator? That would probably make it easier to manage the conversation, especially since UCSC, Navy, and DTSC will all be on the phone.

Let me know.

Thanks!

Lily

Lily Lee Cleanup Project Manager Superfund Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3) San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518 www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: Bradley Angel [mailto:bradley@greenaction.org]

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 11:33 AM

To: LEE, LILY < LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>

Subject: April 12

hi lily ... a few of us were thinking of coming to epa for this call/meeting...should we do that?

On 3/25/2016 1:58 PM, LEE, LILY wrote:

Dear Dr. Hirsch,

Thank you for the questions and the suggestion to bring in Stuart. Region IX has consulted with him over the years about the Hunters Point site. I just talked with him, and he has graciously agreed to participate in the call.

Lily

Lily Lee
Cleanup Project Manager
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518 www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: Daniel Hirsch [mailto:dohirsch@ucsc.edu]

Sent: Sunday, March 20, 2016 7:57 PM **To:** LEE, LILY LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV

Cc: Walker, Stuart <<u>Walker.Stuart@epa.gov></u>; Janice Davis <<u>jadadavi@ucsc.edu></u>; Lucien

Martin ! Maria Caine ! Janie Flores

<jalflore@ucsc.edu>; Liora Huebner <lhuebner@ucsc.edu>; Flora Lu

<floralu@ucsc.edu>; bradley@greenaction.org
Subject: request re conference call April 12

We would appreciate it if you would arrange for Stuart Walker, the EPA Superfund remedial program's National Radiation Expert, to participate in the conference call on Hunters Point issues scheduled for April 12.

We note that "Radiation Risk Assessment at CERCLA Sites Q&A," (EPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation Directive 9200.4-40, May 2014) states:

"Q10. For CERCLA risk assessments at remedial sites, is it appropriate to use guidance or approaches developed by other Federal, State or Tribal Agencies or by International or National Organizations?

A. EPA has made the policy decision that risks from radionuclide exposures at remedial sites should be estimated in the same manner as chemical contaminants, which is consistent with EPA's remedial program implementing guidance (e.g., EPA 1997g, 1999d, 2000f). Consequently, approaches that do not follow the remedial program's policies and guidance should not be used at CERCLA remedial sites. Should regional staff have questions, they should consult with the Superfund remedial program's National Radiation Expert (Stuart Walker of OSRTI at the time this fact sheet was issued, at (703) 603-8748 or walker.stuart@epa.gov), before using guidance from other organizations that is not already incorporated into this and other EPA Superfund remedial program guidance."

Among the issues we wish to explore during the conference call is whether remediation standards, models, and other guidance were used at Hunters Point that are inconsistent with the EPA remedial program's policies and guidance. If so, we would like to understand whether EPA Region IX consulted with Mr. Walker before allowing use of guidance that is not incorporated in EPA Superfund remedial program guidance, and if so, on what basis the approvals were made. If there was no consultation with Mr. Walker, we would like to learn why not.

Thank you.

Daniel Hirsch
Director
Program on Environmental and Nuclear Policy
College Ten
University of California at Santa Cruz